1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, 5 Case No. CR95-5828FDB v. 6 ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S LETTER JAMES LEE McPHADEN, DATED JUNE 2, 2006 7 Defendant. 8 In Defendant's letter dated June 2, 2006, he requests that this Court, among other things, 9 "make a notation in the pre-sentence report stating that Oregon State Case #8011-34090 is not to be 10 considered by any federal court for enhancement purposes." The United States has responded. 11 Defendant has previously filed motions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The Ninth Circuit 12 upheld the District Court's denial of a 2255 motion on March 29, 2004 [Dkt. #79]. Defendant 13 moved pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 35 for amendment of his sentence, which was denied on July 7, 14 2005 [Dkt. # 90]. The Ninth Circuit denied a certificate of appealability for the latter order on 15 December 27, 2005 [Dkt. # 100] and instructed Defendant that he must seek and order from the 16 Court of Appeals authorizing the District Court to consider a second or successive 2255 petition. 17 The issue Defendant raises in his June 2, 2006 letter has been raised earlier and rejected. 18 ACCORDINGLY, treating Defendant's June 2, 2006 letter as a motion pursuant to 28 19 U.S.C. § 2255 the Court will take no action. 20 DATED this 29th day of June, 2006. 21 22 23 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25

26

ORDER - 1